Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/578,236	STERNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) M. Lawrence Oliverio.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6, 17-24		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Claims 6 and 17-24 ares still pending but withdrawn from consideration. Claims 6 and 17-24 are to be cancelled by Exr. Amdt.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	in summary of the substance interview. since the interview
M. E.L		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		